

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/089,105	02/19/1998	David B Kay	ORTHO-A-CIP-PCT.US	4870
7590 04/07/2004			EXAMINER	
Hudak & Shunk			WOO, JULIAN W	
2020 FRONT STREET, SUITE 307 CUYAHOGA FALLS, OH 44221-3256			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3731	

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004

14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	V~ \				
Office Action Surrence	10/089,105	KAY, DAVID B					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Julian W. Woo	3731					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addre	ess				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of th riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
Status			•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	9 January 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ -	This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 19-53 is/are pending in the applic	ation.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-53</u> is/are rejected.	Di⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-53</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO	-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the	nents have been received. nents have been received in	Application No	age				
application from the International Bu			9				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		ot received.					
	·						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	·	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/St Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other: _		,				

Application/Control Number: 10/089,105 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 28, 38, and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In these claims, the open helical structure is said to be inserted into bone, which is unpatentable subject matter claimed as a structural part of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 19-27, 29-37, 39-47, and 49-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeCaro (4,762,453). DeCaro discloses the invention

Application/Control Number: 10/089,105

Art Unit: 3731

Page 3

substantially as claimed. DeCaro discloses, in the figures and in col. 2, line 66 to col. 3, line 38, a tissue anchor and a method of making the anchor, where the anchor comprises a rigid elongate member (10) having an open helical structure, a first end having an insertion tip (31), and a second end having a modular attachment head (12); where the elongate member comprises spring steel, where the modular head includes a hexagonal recess (16), where the elongate member includes a seat (3) that is ring that is less than a complete circle (i.e., a partial helix gripping the modular head) and a complete circle (i.e., a complete helix also gripping the modular head), where the ring has the same outer diameter as the open helical structure, and where the modular head includes an opening (19, in fig. 9). However, DeCaro does not disclose as claimed the dimensions of the open, helical structure. Nevertheless, DeCaro discloses that the structure can be made in "a number of configurations" (see col. 1, lines 58 and 59). Thus, it would have been a matter of design choice to dimension the structure as claimed. The dimensions can be chosen according to the intended use of the anchor. Also, DeCaro does not disclose that the spring steel material of the helical structure is surgical grade or stainless. Nevertheless, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to apply surgical grade or stainless steel to the anchor of DeCaro. Such a steel not only possesses mechanical strength, it is corrosion-resistant and hence, biocompatible.

Response to Amendment

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-53 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/089,105 Page 4

Art Unit: 3731

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian W. Woo whose telephone number is (703) 308-0421. The examiner can normally be reached Mon.-Fri., 7:00 AM to 3:00 PM Eastern Time, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Milano can be reached at (703) 308-2496.

General inquiries relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 308-0858. The official FAX number is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Julian W. Woo Primary Examiner

Julian M. Moo

April 6, 2004